UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,038	04/06/2006	John Fred Eaddy III	PR60507USW	5949
23347 7590 07/12/2007 GLAXOSMITHKLINE CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, MAI B475			EXAMINER	
			NAGUBANDI, LALITHA	
	E DR., PO BOX 13398 TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709-3398		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1	,		1621	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	07/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication:

		A 11 A1	A 12 47 - >				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/575,038	EADDY ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	Lalitha Nagubandi	1621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHICH - Extension after SIX - If NO pe - Failure to Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAINS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The right of the first period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•						
_ 1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ap</u>	<u>oril 2006</u> .	•				
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	·—						
cl	osed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition	of Claims						
4)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>1-12,14,22 and 24-35</u> is/are pending i	n the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□ C	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
/ · ·	laim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-12,14,22 and 24-35 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	ı Papers						
9) <u></u> Th	e specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice of 3) Informat	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

Application/Control Number: 10/575,038

Art Unit: 1621

Lack of Unity

This application is a 371 of PCT/EP03/13453. For applications filed under 371, PCT rules for lack of unity apply. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-12, 14, and 32-35 are drawn to class 560 subclass 1.

Group II, claim(s) 25-31 are drawn to class 560 and subclass (s) 96.

Group III, claim(s) 22, and 24, are drawn to class 514 and subclass 899.

The inventions listed as Groups I, II and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The search report indicates lack of unity of the invention and states that claim 1 is anticipated by Weatherman et al (See: Chemistry and Biology 8 (2001) 427-436) and thus claim 1 lacks special technical feature that binds all the claims together.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,038

Art Unit: 1621

For claims 1- 12, and 14 are generic, applicant is required to elect a single compound explicitly disclosed in the examples of the specification. For claims 25 - 31, the applicant is required to elect a single species and one specific process. For claims 22 and 24, applicant is required to elect a specific compound administered as well as a method of treatment explicitly disclosed in the specification.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 1621

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lalitha Nagubandi whose telephone number is 571 272 7996. The examiner can normally be reached on 6.30am to 3.00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eyler, Yvonne can be reached on 571 272 0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lalitha Nagubandi Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600

June 9th, 2007.

Primary Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600

Samuel A Barts